

BLUES' ASSOCIATION WITH ANTS - A STRANGE SUSTAINING PHENOMENA

Priyadharshini V¹*, Balamurugan S¹ and Senthilraja N²

¹Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu ²Department of Entomology, B.A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat

* Email: priyadharshinivelayutham1996@gmail.com

Received: Dec 22, 2022; Revised: Dec 28, 2022 Accepted: Dec 29, 2022

Introduction

Larvae that can survive ant encounters and colonise ant territories, whether on host plants or inside ant-built structures, may benefit from a variety of advantages, such as reduced competition, enemy-free space, and favourable microclimates (Saarinen and Daniels, 2006). Pierce et al. (2002) and Casacci et al. (2019) recently reviewed on ant associations with the lepidopteran families lycaenidae and riodinidae. Over 70% of the species in the large butterfly family lycaenidae appear to be antassociated, making them the largest single group of myrmecophile lepidopterans (Tables 1 and 2). Two more ant associate radiations account for 20% of the species in the closely related butterfly family riodinidae. Over the course of 10 million years, these butterfly groups have evolved a wide range of adaptations. This study is quite novel in terms of the mechanism facilitating these fascinating interactions.

Table 1.

		Degree of association and type of	No. of ant-		
Family	Ant-associated group	relationship with ants	associated species	Distribution	
Psychidae	<i>Iphierga</i> , <i>Ardiosteres</i> (m ay constitute more than one distinct group of ant associates)	Obligate. Larvae feed on debris or ants in <i>Iridomyrmex</i> or other nests	3 species	Australia	
Tineidae	Myrmecozelinae (in part may constitute more than one distinct group of ant associates)	Obligate. <i>Myrmecozela ochraceella</i> feed on <i>Formica</i> nest material and possibly also ants. <i>Ippa</i> are carnivorous and along with others occur with diverse ant groups	>8 species in >3 genera	Europe to New Guinea	
	Setomorpha melichrosta	Obligate (?). Larvae feed on plant materials in fungus gardens of <i>Atta</i> and <i>Acromyrmex</i> leaf-cutter ants	1 species	New World tropics/subtropics	
	Amydria anceps	Obligate. Feed on fungal substrate accumulations outside of <i>Atta</i> nests	1 species	Mexico	



Ecofarming e-Magazine for Agriculture and Allied Sciences http://www.rdagriculture.in e-ISSN: 2583-0791

Family	Ant-associated group	Degree of association and type of relationship with ants	No. of ant- associated species	Distribution		
Tortricidae	Hystrichophora spp.	Obligate (?). Larvae feed within Vachellia ant-plant domatia	3 species	East Africa		
	Semutophila saccharopa	Facultative (?). Trophobiotic relationship	Malaysian peninsula			
Sesiidae	Osmanthedon domaticola	Facultative (?). Larvae feed on <i>Vachellia</i> ant-plant domatia within silk shelters	East Africa			
Cyclotornidae	Cyclotorna spp.	Obligate. Ant-attended and parasitic within ant nests	Australia			
Coleophoridae	Batrachedra myrmecophila	Obligate. Preys on ant brood	1 species	Java		
Oecophoridae	Stathmopoda sp.	Obligate (?). Known from <i>Oecophylla</i> nests	Australia			
Pyralidae	Pachypodistes goeldii	Obligate. Larvae feed on <i>Dolichoderus</i> ant nest cartons	1 species	Brazil		
	Stenachroia myrmecophila	Obligate. Larvae may feed on Crematogaster brood	1 species Australia			
	Gen. sp.	Obligate (?). Found in <i>Dinomyrmex</i> nest	1 species	Borneo		
	Gen. sp.	Obligate (?). Found in Oecophylla nest	1 species	Cameroon		
	Gen. sp.	Facultative (?). Found only on plants with Crematogaster	1 species	Cameroon		
	Gen. sp.	Facultative (?). Found only on plants with <i>Oecophylla</i>	1 species	Cameroon		
Crambidae	Niphopyralis and allies	Obligate. Feed on Oecophylla eggs and brood	Australia, Java, and Cameroon			
Noctuidae	Dyops spp.	Facultative. Larvae feed on <i>Cecropia</i> ant- plants defended by <i>Azteca</i> ants	Central and South America			
Erebidae	Coxina spp.	Facultative (?). Larvae feed on <i>Acacia</i> ant-plants	Larvae feed 1 species			
	Eublemma albifascia	Obligate (?). Larvae feed on <i>Oecophylla</i> regurgitations	1 species	Cameroon		
	Homodes spp.	Obligate (?). Larvae feed on foliage around <i>Oecophylla ants</i>	>6 species Tropical Asia a Australia			
	Nudina artaxidia	Obligate (?). Larvae feed from ant- attended scale insects	1 species	Japan		
Notodontidae	Rosema dentifera	Facultative (?).Larvae feed only1 specieson Acacia ant-plants1		Central America		
	Gen. sp. (near <i>Stauropus</i>)	Obligate (?). May solicit trophallaxis from <i>Oecophylla</i>	1 species	Cameroon		
Saturniidae	Syssphinx mexicana	Facultative (?). Larvae feed only on Acacia ant-plants 1 species Central Antiplants		Central America		
Hesperiidae	Lotongus calathus	Obligate (?). Larvae build nests always 1 species Malaysia shared with ants				
Pieridae	Catopsilia spp.	Facultative. Larvae regularly attract ants to excretions and leaf exudates	Larvae regularly attract ants >3 species Africa and tropical Asia			



e-Magazine for Agriculture and Allied Sciences http://www.rdagriculture.in e-ISSN: 2583-0791

Family	Ant-associated group	Degree of association and type of relationship with ants	No. of ant- associated species	Distribution	
Lycaenidae	Lycaenidae	See Table <u>2</u> . Most form trophobiotic relationships with ants	>3830 species estimated	Widespread globally	
Riodinidae	Eurybiina (Riodininae: Eurybiini)	See Table <u>2</u> . All appear to form trophobiotic relationships with ants	>35 species estimated	l South America	
	Nymphiidini (Riodininae)	See Table <u>2</u> . Most form trophobiotic relationships with ants	> 273 species estimated	Central and South America	

Table 2

(Pierce and Dankowicz, 2022)

	No. of described species	Distribution	Ant association		Degree of association, if associated			Trophobiosis	
			Non-ant associated	Ant associated	Facultative	Obligate	Non- trophobiotic	Trophobiotic	
<mark>Lycaenidae</mark>	<mark>5390</mark>	<mark>Global</mark>	<mark>16 (96)</mark>	<mark>881</mark> (3830)	<mark>354 (1761)</mark>	344 (1281)	<mark>217 (1116)</mark>	<mark>687 (2096)</mark>	
Curetinae	18	AU, OR, PA	0 (0)	5 (18)	3 (18)	0 (0)	6 (18)	0 (0)	
Theclinae + Polyommatinae	4019	Global	9 (62)	622 (2878)	341 (1654)	134 (442)	95 (339)	591 (1833)	
Lycaeninae	114	Global	1 (1)	10 (79)	6 (79)	0 (0)	25 (106)	0 (0)	
Miletinae	208	AT, AU, NA, OR, PA	0 (0)	51 (207)	4 (10)	32 (197)	42 (207)	0 (0)	
Aphnaeinae	302	AT, OR, PA	0 (0)	122 (264)	0 (0)	115 (264)	0 (0)	96 (263)	
Poritiinae	729	AT, AU, OR	6 (33)	71 (384)	0 (0)	63 (378)	49 (446)	0 (0)	
Riodinidae	<mark>1562</mark>	<mark>Global</mark>	<mark>0 (0)</mark>	<mark>68 (308)</mark>	<mark>3 (40)</mark>	22 (163)	145 (982)	<mark>62 (257)</mark>	
Nemeobiinae	301	Global	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	32 (252)	0 (0)	
Riodininae	1261	NA, NT	0 (0)	68 (308)	3 (40)	22 (163)	113 (730)	62 (257)	
Eurybiini	247	NT	0 (0)	7 (35)	0 (0)	3 (35)	37 (199)	7 (35)	
Dianesiini	1	NT	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Calydnini	27	NT	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (21)	0 (0)	
Nymphidiini	367	NT	0 (0)	61 (273)	3 (40)	19 (128)	3 (26)	55 (222)	
Symmachiini, Emesidini, Riodinini, and Helicopini	615	NA, NT	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	72 (484)	0 (0)	

Myrmecophily

The ability to form symbiotic relationships with ants, known as 'myrmecophily,' is an ancestral trait shared by butterfly members of the Lycaenidae (Lepidoptera) family, commonly known as the 'blues,' 'coppers,' 'hairstreaks and 'metal marks' (Fiedler, **Degree of association**

1. **Facultative:** Larvae in the facultative association are only seldom attended by ants; they are not required to be cared for by numerous genera or species of

1995). The blues have one of the most fascinating life-cycles as it has close relationship with ants.

The level of association with ants ranged from facultative to obligate, mutually beneficial, manipulative, and parasitic.

ants. Eg:The tending ants (*Camponotus compressus*) partially cleared the frass-filled larval feeding hole in order to obtain the sugary substance secreted by



the lycaenid (*Deudorix isocrates*) (Kumar et al., 2017).

 Obligate: Because of the significant risk of predation or for other reasons, larvae in the obligatory association are invariably connected with a certain genus or species of ants. Without ants, larvae would not be able to survive. Eg: *Crematogaster hodgsoni* ants are obligately associated with all stages of lilac silverline, *Apharitis lilacinus*. Female *A. lilacinus* ants lay eggs at the entrance to the nest of *C. hodgsoni* ants, sometimes on sand and away from plants. From hatching to eclosion, caterpillars and pupae are completely dependent on ants and must be constantly attended. Caterpillars do not feed on plant tissue at all, instead relying on ant regurgitated food (Basu & Kunte 2020).

3. **Mutualism:** A mutualistic relationship between two species is one that benefits both parties. Eg: The larvae of butterflies secrete nutritious 'larval nectar' (rich in sugars and amino acids) from their Dorsal Nectary Organs (DNO) to ants. In exchange, the larvae are protected from ant attack, and ants aggressively protect the larvae from other predators (Hojo et al., 2015).



Caterpillars control ant behaviour through the use of multimodal signals such as chemical, acoustic, and tactile signals, which we will discuss further.



1. Chemical signal

a) Pore cupola organ (PCO)

Pheromone secreting single-celled epidermal gland found on all lycaenid sp. larvae emit chemicals to pacify ants that would otherwise attack soft-bodied larvae. PCO enabled a critical innovation that allowed ancestral lycaenids to take advantage of enemy free space in the presence of ants.

b) Dew patches and Dorsal Nectary Organ (DNO) DNO, also known as the "honey bee gland," is located on the 7th abdominal segment and produces nutritious secretions (rich in carbohydrates such as sucrose, glucose, arabinose, fructose, maltose. trehalose, and lactose, followed by free amino acids and trace amounts of methionine) for ants (Daniels et al., 2005). It also has the potential to change the level of dopamine in the attending ant's brain, causing them to slow their locomotion.



(Kumar et al., 2017)

2. Acoustic signals

Idle myrmecophile pupae communicate with ants via substratum-borne acoustic signals produced by stridulating chitinous micro-ridges at the segmental ends (Travassos & Pierce, 2000). In some cases, the larvae mimic the sound of queen ant which enable the ants into service.

3. Tactile/Tentacle signal

A pair on the eighth abdominal segment, compass to direct caterpillars toward ant aggression. Once the caterpillars are alarmed, volatile substances eluted from the tip of this organ attract and alert the ants (Ekka et al., 2020).





4. Other associated morphology

Caterpillars have thick, convoluted skin/dermis to protect themselves from ant attack. The pro-thoracic plate and endplate were also thick and chitinous, suggesting that they serve as protective shields for the sensitive head and abdominal ends. The foregut, on the other hand, was remarkably

Functional understanding

Traditional dissection and staining methods destroy connecting muscles and nerves, making detailed internal structures or functional morphology of these organs difficult to characterize (Vegliante & Hasenfuss, 2011). It is also unknown how these organs interact with the surrounding anatomical systems. To address these limitations, microtomography (MicroCT) **Conclusion**

The association of blue butterflies with their ant hosts is one of the nature's wonders. Functional morphologies of insect adaptations have always been thought to be important, but they have been difficult to study due to technological limitations. Applications of similar MicroCT analysis may range from soft tissue mechanics in insect larvae and relative organ development through insect (Kumar et al., 2017)

narrow, which could be related to the caterpillar's reliance on trophallaxis, which eliminates the heavy digestive machinery found in caterpillars that must digest tough vegetation *viz.*, *Apharitis lilacinus*, which feeds on the regurgitated food provided by *Crematogaster hodgsoni*.

was developed, which sheds light on internal and functional morphology. Basu and Kunte. (2020) used this technique to delineate the internal, native morphology of specialised larval dew patches, nectar glands, and tactile ciliary organs that mediate interactions between *Crematogaster* ants and *Apharitis lilacinus* butterfly caterpillars.

metamorphosis to predator-prey interactions and arms races. Understanding the morphology and behaviour of lycaenids can help us understand the interactions and survival needs of organisms. It would be preferable if aspiring entomologists showed an interest in comprehending such marvellous ecological relationships of various insects.



References

- Basu DN and Kunte K. 2020. Tools of the trade: Micro CT reveals native structure and functional morphology of organs that drive caterpillar-ant interactions. *Scientific Reports*. 10:10593.
- Casacci LP, Bonelli S, Balletto E, Barbero F (2019) Multimodal signaling in myrmecophilous butterflies. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*. 7:454.
- Chaudhary R and Kumar V. 2021. Genera of ants associated with larvae of plains cupid(*Chilades pandava*, Horsefield, 1829) (Insecta: Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae) infesting *Cycas*, in Delhi, India and an insight into the nature of their interaction. *Bionotes*. 23(2&3): 90-97.
- Daniels H, Gottsberger G and Fiedler K. 2005. Nutrient composition of larval nectar secretions from three species of myrmecophilous butterflies. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*. 31:2805-2821.
- 5. Ekka PA, Kumari S and Rastogi N. 2020.Facultative associations of two sympatric Lycaenid butterflies with *Camponotus compressus* – field study and larval surface ultrastructure. *Halteres.* **11**: 44-55.
- Fiedler K. 1995. Lycaenid butterflies and plants: is myrmecophily associated with particular host plant preferences?. *Ethology, Ecology and Evolution*. 7:107-32.
- Hojo MK, Pierce NE and suji KT. 2015. Lycaenid caterpillar secretions manipulate attendant ant behaviour. *Current Biology*. 25:2260–2264.

- Kumar KP, Kamala Jayanthi PD, Abraham Verghese and Chakravarthy AK. 2017. Facultative myemecophily in *Deudorix Isocrates* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 5(5):870-875.
- Parker J and Kronauer DJC. 2021. How ants shape biodiversity. *Current Biology*. 31(19): R1208-R1214.
- 10. Pierce NE, Braby MF, Heath A, Lohman DJ, Mathew J, Rand DB and Travassos MA. 2002. The ecology and evolution of ant association in the Lycaenidae (Lepidoptera). *Annual Review of Entomology*. **47**:733-771.
- 11. Pierce NE, Dankowicz E. (2022). The Natural History of Caterpillar-Ant Associations. In: Marquis, R.J., Koptur, S. (eds) Caterpillars in the Middle. Fascinating Life Sciences. Springer.
- 12. Saarinen EV and Daniels JC. 2006. Miami blue butterfly larvae (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae) and ants (Hymeoptera: Formicidae): new information on the symbionts of an endangered taxon. Fl *Entomol.* 899(1):69–74.
- Travassos MA and Pierce NE. 2000. Acoustics, context and function of vibrational signalling in a butterfly-ant mutualism. Animal *Behaviour*. 60:13– 26.
- 14. Vegliante F and Hasenfuss I. 2011. Morphology and diversity of exocrine glands in lepidopteran larvae. *Annual Review of Entomology*. 57:187–204.